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“No later than July 31 of the year following the effective date of this Act, and no later than July 31 every year thereafter, the Office of Management and Enterprise Services shall calculate the savings and averted costs that accrued to the state from the implementation of the Oklahoma Smart Justice Reform Act. In making the calculation required by this section, the Office of Management and Enterprise Services shall use actual data or best available estimates where actual data is not available. The calculation shall be final and shall not be adjusted for any subsequent changes in the underlying data.”
57 O.S. § 632 (SQ781 Calculations)

- Due date: July 31, 2018 (and every year thereafter)
  - “...this Act” = SQ781
  - Section 6 of SQ781 made it effective July 1, 2017

- Requirement: “...calculate the savings and averted costs that accrued to the state from the implementation of the Oklahoma Smart Justice Reform Act”
Oklahoma Smart Justice Reform Act (SQ780)

- Approved by vote of citizens in fall of 2016
- 23 Sections
  - Sections 1-2: Intent & title/citation
  - Sections 3-20: Amended statutory penalty for specific crimes
    - Some overlap with HB2751 from 2016
  - Section 21: Repealed 21 O.S. § 51.3
  - Sections 22-23: Severability clause & effective date (July 1, 2017)
Oklahoma Smart Justice Reform Act (SQ780)

• Major changes of SQ780:
  – Amended the statutory penalty for specific crimes
• Problem: how to calculate impacts of amending the statutory penalty of a crime?
SQ781

• Approved by vote of citizens in fall of 2016
• 7 Sections:
  – Section 1: Intent
  – Section 2-4: New Law
  – Section 5: Conditional clause tying SQ780 to SQ781
  – Section 6-7: Effective date & severability clause
Section 1: Intent

“The people of the state of Oklahoma find the fact that Oklahoma has the second-highest overall incarceration rate in the country, and the highest incarceration rate for women, is inconsistent with Oklahoma values, and drains resources away from investments that can do more to promote public safety. Therefore, the people intend, in enacting this initiative measure, to implement criminal justice reforms that focus on treating the root causes of crime by increasing funding for rehabilitative services like drug and mental health treatment, job training, and education programs.”
SQ781

• Section 2-4: New law
  – 57 O.S. § 631 - County Community Safety Investment Fund
  – 57 O.S. § 632 - Calculation of Savings and Averted Costs from Implementation of the Oklahoma Smart Justice Reform Act
  – 57 O.S. § 633 - Appropriations to the County Community Safety Investment Fund
57 O.S. § 632 (SQ781 Calculations)

• Due date: July 31, 2018 (and every year thereafter)
  – “…this Act” = SQ781
  – Section 6 of SQ781 made it effective July 1, 2017

• Requirement: “…calculate the savings and averted costs that accrued to the state from the implementation of the Oklahoma Smart Justice Reform Act”
57 O.S. § 632 (SQ781 Calculations)

- Calculations: who is impacted by a change in the penalty of a crime?
  - Those enforcing the laws (i.e. law enforcement); and
  - Those of whom the law is being enforced against (i.e. persons entering the criminal justice system).
Criminal Justice System (CJS)

What is the sequence of events in the criminal justice system?

- **Entry into the system**
  - Reported and observed crime
  - Investigation
  - Arrest
  - Charges filed
  - Initial appearance
  - Preliminary hearing
  - Bail or detention hearing

- **Prosecution and pretrial services**
  - Felonies
    - Information
    - Refusal to indict
      - Grand jury
        - Charge dismissed
        - Acquitted
        - Trial
          - Convicted
            - Sentencing
          - Acquitted
          - Appeal
            - Habeas corpus
              - Parole
                - Probation
      - Guilty plea
        - Sentence
          - Parole
            - Probation
              - Revocation
                - Prison
                  - Out of system
                - Parole
                  - Probation
                    - Revocation
                      - Probation or other nonresidential disposition
                        - Out of system
  - Misdemeanors
    - Information
    - Refusal to indict
      - Grand jury
        - Charge dismissed
        - Acquitted
        - Trial
          - Convicted
            - Sentencing
          - Acquitted
          - Appeal
            - Habeas corpus
              - Parole
                - Probation
                  - Revocation
                - Probation
                  - Revocation
                    - Probation
                      - Revocation
                        - Out of system
  - Charges dropped or dismissed
  - Charges dropped or dismissed
  - Charges dropped or dismissed

- **Adjudication**
  - An assigned
  - Trial
    - Convicted
      - Sentencing
    - Acquitted
    - Appeal
      - Habeas corpus
        - Parole
          - Probation
            - Revocation
              - Prison
                - Out of system
              - Parole
                - Probation
                  - Revocation
                    - Probation
                      - Revocation
                        - Out of system

- **Sentencing and sanctions**
  - Guilty plea
    - Sentence
      - Parole
        - Probation
          - Revocation
            - Probation
              - Probation
                - Revocation
                  - Probation
                    - Revocation
                      - Probation
                        - Out of system
  - Charge dismissed
  - Acquitted
  - Trial
    - Convicted
      - Sentencing
    - Acquitted
    - Appeal
      - Habeas corpus
        - Parole
          - Probation
            - Revocation
              - Prison
                - Out of system
              - Parole
                - Probation
                  - Revocation
                    - Probation
                      - Revocation
                        - Out of system

- **Corrections**
  - Probation
  - Habeas corpus
  - Parole
    - Probation
      - Revocation
        - Probation
          - Revocation
            - Probation
              - Probation
                - Revocation
                  - Probation
                    - Revocation
                      - Probation
                        - Out of system
  - Prison
    - Out of system
  - Parole
    - Probation
      - Revocation
        - Probation
          - Revocation
            - Probation
              - Probation
                - Revocation
                  - Probation
                    - Revocation
                      - Probation
                        - Out of system

Note: This chart gives a simplified view of caseloads through the criminal justice system. Procedures vary among jurisdictions. The weights of the lines are not intended to show actual size of caseloads.

Source: Adapted from The challenge of crime in a free society: President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. 1967. This revision, a result of the Symposium on the 20th Anniversary of the President's Commission, was prepared by the Bureau of Justice Statistics in 1997.
Criminal Justice System (CJS)

Entry into the system
- Crime was reported or observed
- Investigation
- Arrest

Prosecution & Pre-Trial
- Charges filed
- Preliminary / Bail Hearings

Adjudication & Sentencing
- Arraignment
- Trial
- Conviction
- Sentencing / Disposition

Corrections
- Probation / Supervision or Prison
- Release
CJS – Entry into the System

• Arrest (after 07/01/2017):
  – Law enforcement: SQ780 did not necessarily decriminalize anything
    • Most arrests are by county & local law enforcement, compared to state-level
    • Potential data point: number of arrests by statute
      – 74 O.S. § 150.10 & 150.12
  – Persons in CJS: persons can still be arrested for those crimes affected by SQ780
CJS – Prosecution & Pre-Trial

• Filing charges:
  – Law enforcement: SQ780 did not necessarily decriminalize anything, nor did it necessarily affect the burden of proof
    • “beyond reasonable doubt”
    • DAs still weigh evidence & file charges (i.e. potential data point)
    • Municipal courts & misdemeanors
  – Persons in CJS: persons can still be charged for those crimes affected by SQ780
CJS – Adjudication & Sentencing

- Adjudication & Trial:
  - Law enforcement: SQ780 affects options available to courts & prosecutors
    - State-level drug courts require a felony offense pursuant to 22 O.S. § 471.2(A)(4)
    - Potential data points: disposition data & conviction rates
      - 74 O.S. § 150.10
  - Persons in CJS: persons can still be convicted of those crimes affected by SQ780
CJS – Corrections

• Custody & Supervision:
  – Law enforcement: SQ780 affected the statutory penalty of crimes
    • DOC only receives felons
    • DAs & private entities also supervise offenders
    • County jails
    • Potential data points: DOC receptions, DA supervised population, county jail receptions
  – Persons in CJS: persons can still go to jail and/or prison for crimes affected by SQ780
CJS & SQ781 Calculations

• Potential data points & issues:
  – Disposition data incomplete, fragmented, and difficult to access/utilize
  – DOC receptions do not account for everyone affected by SQ780
  – OSCN is a work in progress
  – CJS data in general is fragmented & “silo’ed”
  – Delays in CJS
CJS & SQ781 Calculations

• Potential data points & issues:
  – Case filing data appears to capture affected population, but
  – The value of it is debatable without additional data points like conviction rates
## Case Filing Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DA District</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>No. of Fy2016 Cases</th>
<th>No. of Fy2017 Cases</th>
<th>No. of Fy2018 Cases</th>
<th>No. of Fy2019 Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1451 ~ EMBEZZLEMENT</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1451(A)(9) ~ EMBEZZLEMENT OF RENTAL PROPERTY</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1503 ~ DEFAUDING AN INNKEEPER / RESTAURATEUR</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1521 ~ RENTING VEHICLE WITH BOGUS CHECK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1541.1 ~ OBTAINING CASH OR MERCHANDISE BY BOGUS CHECK / FALSE PRETENSES</td>
<td>1,560</td>
<td>1,477</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>1,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1541.2 ~ FELONY VALUE - FALSE PRETENSES / BOGUS CHECK / CON GAME</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1541.3 ~ FELONY VALUE - 2 OR MORE BOGUS CHECKS TOGETHER</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1577 ~ FORGERY IN THE THIRD DEGREE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1578 ~ POSSESSION OF FORGED NOTES OR INSTRUMENTS</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1579 ~ POSSESSION OF FORGED INSTRUMENTS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1713 ~ KNOWINGLY CONCEALING STOLEN PROPERTY</td>
<td>2,150</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>1,765</td>
<td>1,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1713.1 ~ RECEIVE / CONCEAL STOLEN CONSTRUCTION / FARM EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1722 ~ LARCENY OF GASOLINE</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>21 O.S. § 1731 ~ LARCENY OF MERCHANDISE FROM RETAILER</td>
<td>1,848</td>
<td>1,505</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>1,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>59 O.S. § 1512 ~ FALSE DECLARATION OF OWNERSHIP IN PAWN</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>59 O.S. § 1512(C)(1) ~ OPERATING AS PAWNBROKER WITHOUT A LICENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>59 O.S. § 1512(C)(2) ~ FALSE DECLARATION OF OWNERSHIP TO A PAWNBROKER</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>59 O.S. § 1512(C)(3) ~ FAILURE TO REPAY PAWNBROKER</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>63 O.S. § 2-402 ~ POSSESSION OF CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE</td>
<td>13,546</td>
<td>14,596</td>
<td>12,357</td>
<td>11,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D07</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,652</td>
<td>3,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D14</td>
<td>Tulsa</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>4,825</td>
<td>4,740</td>
<td>3,263</td>
<td>3,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,166</td>
<td>25,637</td>
<td>28,210</td>
<td>22,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (w/o Oklahoma County)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,166</td>
<td>25,637</td>
<td>20,558</td>
<td>19,016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1st year calculations (July 2018)

- Used case filing data
- Costs and timeframes from DOC
- Net Present Value of future costs
1st year calculations (July 2018)

• Used case filing data
  – Tried to “reduce” affected population by average percent of those with “only SQ780 crimes”

• Costs and timeframes from DOC

• Net Present Value of future costs
### 1st year calculations (July 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Averted Population</th>
<th>Averted Cost Category</th>
<th>Averted Custody Duration</th>
<th>Daily Cost per Offender</th>
<th>Averted Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9,182</td>
<td>County Jail Backup</td>
<td>145 days (0.4 years)*</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>$35,698,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,182</td>
<td>Incarceration in DOC Facility</td>
<td>528 days (1.45 years)*</td>
<td>$12.94</td>
<td>$60,328,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,182</td>
<td>Community Supervision</td>
<td>1,140 days (3.12 years)*</td>
<td>$3.16</td>
<td>$29,375,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,120</td>
<td>Drug Courts</td>
<td>2,398 days (6.57 years)**</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>$12,387,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $137,789,290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1st year calculations (July 2018)

• Criticisms & noticeable impacts
  – Complaints about not using DOC receptions
  – Complaints about DOC’s total costs not being impacted
DOC’s Costs & Prison Populations

• DOC’s total costs are not highly correlated to prison populations
  – State finance & budgets (i.e. DOC has limited resources with which to accomplish their mission/duties)
  – Economies of scale
  – Deferred maintenance
  – Outsourcing (e.g. private prisons, county jails, etc.)
DOC’s Costs & Prison Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>DOC Total Costs</th>
<th>Housed</th>
<th>Under Supervision</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>549,308,000</td>
<td>24,899</td>
<td>31,459</td>
<td>56,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>573,105,000</td>
<td>24,735</td>
<td>30,930</td>
<td>55,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>563,187,000</td>
<td>25,463</td>
<td>28,235</td>
<td>53,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>521,603,000</td>
<td>25,016</td>
<td>25,391</td>
<td>50,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>519,719,000</td>
<td>25,201</td>
<td>24,572</td>
<td>49,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>560,782,000</td>
<td>25,851</td>
<td>24,831</td>
<td>50,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>577,935,000</td>
<td>27,576</td>
<td>25,395</td>
<td>52,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>560,601,000</td>
<td>28,225</td>
<td>26,781</td>
<td>55,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>559,744,000</td>
<td>28,871</td>
<td>27,238</td>
<td>56,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>573,580,000</td>
<td>30,775</td>
<td>28,855</td>
<td>59,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>581,489,000</td>
<td>30,799</td>
<td>30,575</td>
<td>61,374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2nd Year Calculations

- Used DOC reception data

### Data Provided by the Department of Corrections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FY18</th>
<th>FY19</th>
<th>FY18-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>(G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Receptions</td>
<td># of Receptions</td>
<td>Change in # of Receptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any_780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,490</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,858</td>
<td>1,327</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO_780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only_780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,027</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3,284</td>
<td>2,225</td>
<td>1,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,276</td>
<td>2,851</td>
<td>1,425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2nd Year Calculations

- Used DOC reception data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Any_78_0</th>
<th>CO_78_0</th>
<th>Only_7_80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2,903</td>
<td>2,102</td>
<td>1,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3,006</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>1,746</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(C) Average Total Sentence Length in Days (FY19 Receptions)</th>
<th>(D) Average Jail Time in Days (FY19 Receptions)</th>
<th>(E) Average Length of Incarceration in Days (FY19 Releases)</th>
<th>(C) - (D) - (E) = (F) Estimated Time on Probation/Parole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,903</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>2,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,006</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>1,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,102</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>1,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>1,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,679</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>1,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,746</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1,092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Provided by the Department of Corrections
2nd Year Calculations

- Used DOC cost data & Probation/Parole Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cost Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(H)</td>
<td>DOC Daily Offender Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>County Jail Backup Daily Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J)</td>
<td>Estimated Probation/Parole Daily Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 12.94</td>
<td>$ 27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2nd Year Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FY19 Estimated Averted Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(E) * (G) * (H) = (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimated Averted Costs - Incarcerated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any_780</td>
<td>Female $ (1,100,476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male $ (5,997,434)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $ (7,097,909)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO_780</td>
<td>Female $ (1,039,371)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male $ (3,188,143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $ (4,227,513)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only_780</td>
<td>Female $ (710,714)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male $ (2,657,920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $ (3,368,634)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>Female $ (2,850,561)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male $ (11,843,496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $ (14,694,057)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions?